CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112

Telephone: (212) 408-5100 Facsimile: (212) 541-5369 David M. LeMay (DL 9093)

Douglas E. Deutsch (DD 7229)

Attorneys for the Spiegel Creditor Trust

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	х	
	:	
	:	Chapter 11
	:	
In re:	:	Case No. 03 - 11540 (BRL)
SPIEGEL, INC., et al.,	: :	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debte	ors. :	
	:	
	:	
	:	
***************************************	X	

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION ACTION FILED BY JACQUELINE J. JOHNSON AND NOTICE OF SPIEGEL CREDITOR TRUST'S INTENTION TO PROSECUTE PENDING OBJECTION TO JOHNSON (CLAIM NO. 4248)

The Spiegel Creditor Trust, as successor to the Debtors (as defined below) in certain matters, respectfully provides notice of the following:

As set forth in the Supplemental Statement of the Spiegel Creditor Trust in 1. Support of the Debtors' Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim with Respect to the Claim of Jacqueline J. Johnson (Docket No. 4335) (the "Supplemental

Statement"), on or about February 13, 2003, Jacqueline J. Johnson ("Johnson") filed a "Charge of Discrimination" (hereafter, the "Complaint") with the Illinois Department of Human Rights and the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC") against Spiegel, Inc. ("Spiegel") asserting that Spiegel provided negative employment information on Johnson. See Supplemental Statement, Exhibit A.

- 2. On October 15, 2004, Johnson filed Proof of Claim No. 4248 against Spiegel in the amount of \$100,000 (the "Johnson Claim") asserting damages pursuant to a "Complaint and or Law Suit filed against [Spiegel] for reasons of discrimination[.]" See Supplemental Statement, Exhibit E.
- 3. On December 9, 2004, the Debtors filed their Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim (Docket No. 2431) (the "Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection"), which, among other things, objected to the Johnson Claim on the grounds that pursuant to the Debtors' books and records no amount was due and owing to Johnson. On January 4, 2005, Johnson filed a response to the Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection (Docket No. 2593).
- 4. Before and after the filing of the Johnson Claim, the State of Illinois Department of Human Resources was investigating and/or otherwise reviewing the allegations set forth in the Complaint. Eventually, an order entered by the State of Illinois Department of Human Resources dismissing the Complaint based on lack of

substantial evidence became a final, non-appealable order. See Supplemental Statement, Exhibits B, C, D, G, H and I.

- 5. On February 2, 2006, the Spiegel Creditor Trust filed the Supplemental Statement requesting the Court disallow the Johnson Claim because the underlying Complaint had been dismissed by the State of Illinois Department of Human Resources.
- 6. On February 7, 2006, Johnson filed a response to the Supplemental Statement (Docket No. 4360) (the "Response") indicating, among other things, that the EEOC was still reviewing her Complaint.
- 7. On November 8, 2006, the EEOC issued a "Dismissal and Notice of Rights" indicating that "the EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge." See Exhibit A.

8. Given that the underlying Complaint was previously dismissed by the State of Illinois Department of Human Resources and given that the underlying Complaint has now also been dismissed by the EEOC, Johnson cannot satisfy her burden with respect to the Johnson Claim. Accordingly, the Spiegel Creditor Trust hereby provides notice that it will move forward on the prosecution of its objection to the Johnson Claim at the next omnibus hearing.<sup>1</sup>

Dated: November 28, 2006 New York, New York

## CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

By: /s/ Douglas E. Deutsch
David M. LeMay (DL 9093)
Douglas E. Deutsch (DD 7229)
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112
(212) 408-5100

Attorneys for the Spiegel Creditor Trust

4

The Spiegel Creditor Trust has previously provided notice, orally and in writing, to Johnson that it would schedule this hearing on the Johnson Claim for December 19, 2006. The Spiegel Creditor Trust also orally notified Johnson that she should seek legal counsel with respect to this matter.

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION  DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS							
							Fo:
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whos CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR § 1601.7(a))	-					
EEOC Cha		EEOC Representative		Telephone No.			
21B-200	3-01343	Nola Smith, State and	d Local	(312) 886-5973			
THE EEO	C IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS	CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOW	ING REASON:				
П	The facts alleged in the charge fail to s	tate a claim under any of the statu	ites enforced by the EEO	C.			
一	Your allegations did not involve a disat	oility as defined by the Americans	with Disabilities Act.				
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.						
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge.						
	Having been given 30 days in which to respond, you failed to provide information, failed to appear or be available for interviews/conferences, or otherwise failed to cooperate to the extent that it was not possible to resolve your charge.						
П	While reasonable efforts were made to	locate you, we were not able to d	o so.				
一	You were given 30 days to accept a reasonable settlement offer that affords full relief for the harm you alleged.						
	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.						
X	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.  Other (briefly state)						
- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)							
notice of federal la	the Americans with Disabilities dismissal and of your right to suc w based on this charge in federa otice; or your right to sue based o	e that we will send you. You al or state court. Your laws:	ı may file a lawsuit a ıit <b>must be filed <u>WI</u>T</b>	gainst the respondent(s) under FHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt			
alleged E	y Act (EPA): EPA suits must be PA underpayment. This means the collection of the suit may not be collection.	nat <b>backpay due for any vic</b>					
		On behalf of the Commissi	on				
		The second se	and the second				
	ericania. Notae		License				
Enclosure(s	· 11	ohn P. Rowe District Di	rector	(Date Mailed)			

**Douglas Deutsch** cc: Chadbourne & Parke LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112 Complainant Name: JACQUELINE J. JOHNSON

Charge Number:

2003CF2410

Page 1

to my subsequent employer, the Chicago Public School System, at their Chicago Vocational High School location. Based upon an inspection of the case file of my most recently filed charge against the Respondent, I discovered there was negative employment information which had been transmitted and received by Respondent and my subsequent employer.

3. Respondent's adverse action followed my protected activity within such a period of time as to raise an inference of retaliatory motivation.

ACF/JJT/RCG

			*	<b></b>					
CHARGE (	DISCRIMINATION		AGENCY		CHARGE NUMBER				
This form is affected by the P	rivacy Act of 1974: See Privacy act states	ment	X IDHR		2002/002/440				
before completing this form.	03W0923.1	16	X EEOC	1	2003CF2410				
Illinois Department of Human Rights and EEOC									
NAME (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)  JACQUELINE J. JOHNSON			HOME TELEPHONE (include area code) 773 - 978 - 5646						
STREET ADDRESS 10305 S BENSLEY	O305 S BENSLEY CHICAGO, IL 60617		00/00/00						
	R, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOY NMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINA								
NAME SPIEGEL INC		NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS 15+		TELEPHONE (include area code) 630 986-8800					
STREET ADDRESS 1575 W 22ND	•	AND ZIP CODE DK, IL 60522			COUNTY 043				
NAME		h		TELEP	PHONE (include area code)				
STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE	AND ZIP CO	DE	L	COUNTY				
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION	ON BASED ON:	<del>,</del>		DAT	E OF DISCRIMINATION				
RETALIATION	AN DIEDED CITY				EST (ADEA/EPA) LATEST (ALL)				
				l	/ / 04/01/2002				
					CONTINUING ACTION				
THE PARTICULARS ARE	f additional space is needed attach extra sheet(s) )				CONTINUING ACTION				
THE TARTICOPHIC MAD (1)	maniform space is necessary and second spaces by ,								
I. A.	ISSUE/BASIS								
TRANSMISSION OF NEGATIVE EMPLOYMENT REFERRALS, ON OR ABOUT APRIL 1, 2002, IN RETALIATION FOR HAVING FILED PREVIOUS CHARGES OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.									
В.	PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS			٠,					
1. On September 27, 1989, January 15, 1992, September 28, 1993, and again on August 26, 1999, I engaged in protected activities by having filed charges numbered 1990(F0885, 1992CF1850, 1994CF0846, and 2000CF0378 against the Respondent with the Illinois Department of Human Rights.									
<ol> <li>On or about April 1, 2002, I discovered that the Respondent, through their corporate counsel, Debra Divis, had transmitted negative employment references</li> </ol>									
change my address or telephone nu the processing of my charge in acco		ali	(when necessary for State a	alx	sh 02/13/03				
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct  I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief									
ALICE M. RALPH  NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS  MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 3-13-2006  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THI					DATE  4 13-03  BEFORE ME THIS DATE (Day, month, year)				

Complainant Name: JACQUELINE J. JOHNSON

Charge Number:

2003CF2410

Page 2

to my subsequent employer, the Chicago Public School System, at their Chicago Vocational High School location. Based upon an inspection of the case file of my most recently filed charge against the Respondent, I discovered there was negative employment information which had been transmitted and received by Respondent and my subsequent employer.

3. Respondent's adverse action followed my protected activity within such a period of time as to raise an inference of retaliatory motivation.

ACF/JJT/RCG